URB 8110/8120 | MIS CAPSTONE RESEARCH PAPER

Coordinator: **Dr. Jean-Paul Addie**Office: 55 Park Place NE, 849D

Phone: 404-413-0190

E-mail: <u>jaddie@gsu.edu</u> (preferred contact)

Website: iCollege: USI Capstone Research (URB 8110/8120)

Advisor: A member of the Urban Studies Institute faculty, including affiliates

OBJECTIVES

The purpose of the MIS Capstone Research Paper is for students to undertake original research in a particular substantive area of Urban Studies. The Capstone Paper enables students to construct a piece of research, synthesizing current conceptual debates and empirical evidence from current literatures into a coherent exploration of a specific topic. Given the breadth and interdisciplinary nature of Urban Studies, a range of topics and methodological approaches are considered appropriate and students will have to scope to acquire new skills and advance their intellectual interests. Through the process of conducting the Capstone Paper, students will garner the ability to work independently, and in an adaptive manner, to complete a complex research program from start to finish.

Students opting for the Research Track will complete Masters Capstone Paper 1 and Masters Capstone Paper 2 (each for 3 credit hours). Students are expected to select a Capstone Advisor who is a member of the Urban Studies Institute, including affiliate faculty.

LEARNING OUTCOMES AND GOALS

At the completion of the Capstone Paper project, students will demonstrate the ability to:

- 1. Formulate a precise research question;
- 2. Apply the data collection and analytical skills developed through the MIS program to evaluate existing, and generate new knowledge, regarding a key challenge facing cities;
- 3. Identify and carry out data collection methods appropriate for their particular project;
- 4. Conduct an appropriate data analysis using quantitative, qualitative, or mixed methods approaches;
- 5. Interpret the results and findings in clear and concise terms;
- 6. Draw conclusions based on their findings, including identifying the relevance of their study for key stakeholders (academic and non-academic); and
- 7. Communicate their work effectively in writing and, where appropriate, through oral presentation and visualization techniques;

PREREQUISITES

Students are expected to have finished all courses in the MIS-Urban Studies core and be in good standing.

EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

While there is flexibility to the structure and schedule of the Capstone Research Paper (at the discretion of the Capstone advisor), the general schedule and deadlines are as follows:

Prior to registering Identify a research topic/question and confirm a Capstone Advisor

Weeks 1-2 Develop and submit proposal

Weeks 3-4 Design and submit work plan and bibliography

Weeks 5-12 Conduct primary data collection and analysis, prepare and submit a full draft

Weeks 13-15 Respond to feedback, finalize and submit final paper

Selecting a Research Topic and Advisor

Prior to registering for URB 8110/8120 credit, students should: (1) have a reasonably well-defined research topic or question in mind; and (2) demonstrate that an Urban Studies Institute faculty member (core or affiliate) has agreed to advise their paper. Students are encouraged to discuss their Capstone ideas with several faculty members and potential advisors to help develop and clarify their thinking. Students should also be aware faculty commitments may mean their preferred advisor is unable to take on their project. The choice of Capstone topic is the responsibility of the student (assisted by their Advisor).

Once a topic and advisor have been confirmed, students should **send a confirmation email** the Graduate Programs Director and Laura Viilo. They will then be given approval to register for URB 8110/8120.

Proposal

The proposal must describe your proposed research question(s) and how you hope to go about answering these questions. You should articulate an overarching research question and a limited number of related and researchable sub-questions. The proposal should describe the methodologies and data that you will be employing in the paper.

Work Plan and Bibliography

A **detailed work plan** that provides background on the research topic, clearly and specifically articulates the research questions, and identifies how you will go about addressing these questions, including describing the detailed research design and methods, any existing data that will be obtained, and any data to be collected.

A **bibliography** on your topic should demonstrate your (indicative) reading around the Capstone Paper project, including relevant formal scholarly literature and any applied "gray" literature, important media coverage, etc.

Full Draft

This should be a complete and coherent draft paper, and not a description or collection of preliminary results. While length may vary greatly depending on the type and nature of the paper, the general expectation on length is in the range of 5,000 to 7,000 words, plus tables, figures, and references.

Final Paper

Respond to review, comments, and edits of draft paper by instructor. Edit and proof the paper, including all appropriate formatting of text, tables, figures, and references, per Advisor. Submit final paper.

Evaluation and Grading

The student is the investigator and author of their Capstone Paper. Capstone Research Papers will be graded based on the assessment criteria detailed at the end of this document.

COURSE POLICIES

Academic Honesty

All students are required to be familiar with the Academic Honesty policy of the university and to comply with the policy. This can be found in section 1350 of the graduate catalog. All work submitted must be completed in accordance with the Georgia State University's policies on academic honesty and integrity. The guidelines are posted at http://education.gsu.edu/files/2016/05/plagiarism.pdf and http://codeofconduct.gsu.edu/files/2013/2014-2015-Section-II-Academic-Conduct-Student-Code-of-Conduct.pdf. If you are ever uncertain about these guidelines, please consult with your Advisor. Any work you submit must be your own and should be submitted for this course only. If you are found in violation of the University's academic honesty policies, the severest punishment will be pursued.

Students with Disabilities

Georgia State University complies with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act. Students who need accommodations for a disability should contact the Access and Accommodations Center at https://access.gsu.edu/. Students may only be accommodated upon issuance by the Office of Disability Services of a signed Accommodation Plan and are responsible for providing a copy of that plan to instructors of all courses in which accommodation is sought within the first two weeks of classes. To register for accommodations please follow this link_disability.gsu.edu/services/how-to-register. Phone: (404) 413-1560, Email: dismail@gsu.edu, Website: disability.gsu.edu. Remote Academic Coaching. To learn more go to disability.gsu.edu/services or watch a Coaching Video

Veterans and Serving Military

Students who are veterans, serving in the military, their dependents, and the survivors of serving military are encouraged to avail themselves of a range of college services and activities through the Military Outreach Center (MOC). For assistance or guidance while attending GSU on campus or online, contact the Atlanta Campus Military Student Advocate, David Garcia, at 404-413-2331. Be sure and let your Advisor know ASAP if or when there is any possibility of you being activated and deployed. For more information, contact the GSU Military Outreach Center. Phone: (404) 413-233, Email: dgarcia9@gsu.edu Website: veterans.gsu.edu.

Basic Needs Statement

Any student who faces challenges securing their food or housing and believes this may affect their performance in the course is urged to contact the Dean of Students for support. Furthermore, please notify the professor if you are comfortable in doing so. This will enable us to provide resources that we may possess. The Embark program at GSU provides resources for students facing homelessness and Pantry provides resources for students facing food insecurity.

Student Evaluation

Your constructive assessment of this course plays an indispensable role in shaping education at Georgia State. Upon completing the course, please take time to fill out the online course evaluation.

Late Submission Policy

Assignments should be submitted by the listed deadlines. Late assignments will be accepted with a 10% penalty per day unless an explicit arrangement is agreed between Advisor and student.

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR URBAN STUDIES MIS CAPSTONE RESEARCH PAPERS

| | Failing | Poor | Passing | Adequate | Competent | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Exemplary | Excellent | Exceptional |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | F 0-59 | D 60-69 | C- 70-71 | C 72-77 | C+ 78-79 | B- 80-81 | B 82-87 | B+ 88-89 | A- 90-91 | A 92-97 | A+ 98+ |
| 1) CORE | Minimal or | Slightly | Limited | Some grasp | Reasonable | Sound grasp of | Solid grasp | Through | Strong grasp | Impressive | Exceptional |
| KNOWLEDGE | no grasp of | better than | grasp of | of subject | grasp of the | subject area and | of subject | grasp of | of subject area | grasp of subject | grasp of subject |
| Research aims/ | area. No | minimal | area with | area & | subject area | relevant | area and | subject area | & significant | area & highly | area; research |
| questions | clearly | grasp of | unclear | reasonable | & feasible | research aims. | clear, | with original | research aims. | significant | aims equivalent |
| | specified | subject area | research | research | research | | relevant | research aims. | | research aims. | to a journal |
| | research | | aims. | aims. | aims. | | research | | | | article. |
| | aims. | | | | | | aims. | | | | |
| 2) CORE | Lack of | Very limited | Unclear | Limited or | Evidence of | Evidence of | Evidence of | Extensive | Substantial | Impressive | Expert-level |
| KNOWLEDGE | evidence of | reading. | argument | irrelevant | adequate | reasonable | plentiful | reading with | review & | review & | review & |
| Literature | reading. | | w/ limited | reading. | relevant | relevant reading | relevant | critical use of | exemplary | excellent critical | innovative |
| review | | | or irrelevant | | reading and | and satisfactory | reading and | literature. | critical use of | use of relevant | synthesis |
| | | | reading. | | basic grasp of | grasp of | sound grasp | | relevant | literatures. | suitable for a |
| | | | | | literature | literature. | of literature. | | literatures. | | journal. |
| 3) METHODS | Minimal or | Negligible | Limited | Limited to | Sufficient | Satisfactory | Solid | Significant | Substantial | Impressive | Exceptional |
| Research | no fieldwork | fieldwork or | fieldwork; | basic | fieldwork and | fieldwork and | fieldwork | fieldwork and | fieldwork and | fieldwork or | form of |
| techniques | or | independen | Limited | fieldwork; | basic | expected | and good | clear | high ability in | independent | fieldwork or |
| | independent | t research. | understandi | Some | familiarity | familiarity with | level of | familiarity | application of | research. | independent |
| | research. | Little | ng of | understandin | with | appropriate | familiarity | with | methods. | Innovative | research paired |
| | Serious flaws | familiarity | methods | g of methods | appropriate | methods | with | appropriate | | application & | with an expert- |
| | in use of | w/methods · | and flawed | but flawed or | methods. | | appropriate | methods. | | discussion of | level discussion |
| | methods. | or major | application. | not entirely | | | methods | | | research | of research |
| 4) ANIALYCIC | l | flaws in use. | Duine author | relevant. | December | C | C-1:-l::::l | Theorem | O-iilitil | techniques. | techniques. |
| 4) ANALYSIS | Incoherent | Primarily | Primarily | Some | Reasonable | Sound critical | Solid critical | Thorough | Original critical | Impressive | Cutting edge |
| and | analysis w/ minimal | descriptive | descriptive | understandin | critical | analysis and discussion. | analysis and | understandin | analysis and discussion. | critical analysis & highly | analysis & |
| interpretation of evidence | knowledge | analysis with | analysis; limited, | g of key concepts | analysis and discussion. | discussion. | meaningful discussion. | g of key concepts and | discussion. | significant | subsequent discussion |
| or evidence | to no grasp | discussion. | , | and/or | discussion. | | discussion. | techniques | | discussion of | suitable for a |
| | of core | discussion. | summary and | techniques | | | | w/ high | | findings. | journal. |
| | concepts. | | discussion | but flawed | | | | degree of | | illialligs. | Journal. |
| | concepts. | | of findings. | discussion | | | | skill in | | | |
| | | | or initiality. | and analysis. | | | | constructing | | | |
| | | | | a.i.a.a.i.a.yo.o. | | | | an argument. | | | |
| 5) COMMUNI- | Confused, | Confused | Confused or | Structure | Structure | Conventional | Conventiona | Conventional | Persuasive | Innovative | Highly original |
| CATION and | rambling or | and | rambling | detracts | infringes on | but limited | l structure | and well- | structure that | structure that | structure that |
| presentation | repetitive | rambling | structure | sometimes | the clarity of | structure with | with good | articulated | is original with | enhances the | substantially |
| | structure, | structure | with poor | from the | the | satisfactory | writing and | structure | fluent writing | development of | adds to the |
| | absence of | leading to | writing style | argument. | argument. | writing and | formatting. | with very | and | argument. | development of |
| | identifiable | incoherent | and | Adequate | Competent | formatting. | | good writing | impeccable | Flawless writing | argument. |
| | argument. | argument. | formatting. | writing and | writing and | j | | and | formatting. | & presentation. | Flawless writing |
| | | | | formatting. | formatting. | | | formatting. | _ | | & presentation. |